

From: [REDACTED]
To: [PINS](#)
Cc: [REDACTED]
Subject: Extra point regarding OFH 3
Date: 04 May 2019 12:47:41

Dear Planning Inspectorate

I would like to have this email conversation added to my responses to points made in the OFH 3.

I hope you will accept this late submission as I have only just traced this email conversation.

You will recall that Councillor Jean Bass from Necton Parish Council told the Inspectorate that meetings had been arranged with George Freeman, other stakeholders and the PC to discuss compensation for Necton, but that Vattenfall stopped attending them.

The email chain below is proof that these meetings were indeed arranged.

Necton Parish Council can furnish you with evidence that Vattenfall backed out of these meetings and refused to attend any further, (which is why I have CC'd Councillor Jean Bass into this submission), citing that compensation shouldn't be discussed in this forum – however, as you can see from Ms Falsch-Lovesey's comments below, that was not what they said at first – they were very keen to have these meetings.

Regards

Jenny Smedley

From: [Falch-Lovesey Susan \(WO-MC\)](#)
Sent: Wednesday, October 11, 2017 5:31 PM
To: [REDACTED]
Cc: info@norfolk Vanguard.co.uk
Subject: FW: DRAFT REPLY: Jenny Smedley -Necton Roundabout [BD-4A.FID26781478]

Dear Jenny,

I would just like to clarify Vattenfall's position.

The environmental impact of the project is currently being assessed and, where appropriate, mitigation will be proposed to reduce significant impacts that have been identified. This includes mitigation, where appropriate, to reduce any significant environmental impacts as a result of the construction or operation of the substation proposed at Necton.

Regards the A47, I want to emphasise that Vattenfall are willing to play their part ref. discussions on local transport challenges if the community would like us to do so and I reiterate that, at present, an appropriate forum to discuss matters relating to the A47 is being convened / coordinated by your MP, the Necton Parish Council and involves appropriate transport stakeholders.

Our general approach to problem solving and / or identifying opportunities and benefits

that can arise from our projects is to explore thoroughly what the local community needs are and the interests that should be addressed, what outcomes are needed and desired. Only then to assess options on how to achieve them. We do not have a one-size fits all approach to benefits and opportunities. Bespoke approaches in the long term are more effective and sustainable, but take time and dialogue. We remain eager to enable a proactive and positive approach.

Thanks
Sue

From: Jenny Smedley [REDACTED]
Sent: Tuesday, October 10, 2017 9:12 AM
To: Falch-Lovesey Susan (WO-MC) <susan.falch-lovesey@vattenfall.com>
Cc: Necton Parish Clerk <nectonparishcouncil@gmail.com>; info@norfolk Vanguard.co.uk
Subject: Re: REPLY: Jenny Smedley -Necton Roundabout

Please do try and answer Sue, because there's a huge amount of credibility hanging on this. I don't believe for a second that Vattenfall haven't already decided on their budgets for this, so they must have known for some time how much they are willing to pay Necton in compensation for their project.

There shouldn't be any need for any pussy-footing around on this. There is no bargaining to be done. Vattenfall are obviously believe they are going to ride-roughshod over us and put their substations where they want to, so it's really just compensation they're offering, not a bargaining chip.

They are either willing to give Necton millions for a roundabout, or another big road project or they're not.

You will also recall that we told you that the Swaffham filter lane had already been promised by NCC, and your response to that was a laughing, 'I'm glad I know that. We wouldn't want to be paying for something in place of NCC!' So that isn't going to work with residents.

All this going through the motions both with so called consultations and also bargaining with Highways and Necton is just so annoying. Why not be honest?

We know now that you planned to bring this horror to Necton as far back as 2015, so to try and be coy about what Necton would be offered is pointless. Clearly you already know how much it would be, and intend to give us what you always intended to give us.

It is quite wrong for you to 'allow people to assume', by 'making a note' (the words of our MP) of the fact that a roundabout is needed in Necton, that you intend to pay for one.

So, are you going to give Necton the millions it would take for a major road project or not?

By the way I found this statement by you to be very patronising. Something I would expect from a politician, as they have an inborn facility to appear to be saying a lot, whilst actually saying nothing:

‘Moving on from this however, there are now very useful conversations happening around infrastructure – initiated by George Freeman MP and which are attended by Parish councillors and Vattenfall representatives and Transport stakeholders. This forum is a formal and useful one in which to deliberate relevant local traffic and transport challenges and any opportunities that may emerge to address them.’

Jenny

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: Monday, October 09, 2017 2:37 PM
To: [Falch-Lovesey Susan \(WO-MC\)](#)
Cc: [Necton Parish Clerk](#) ; info@norfolkvanguard.co.uk
Subject: Re: REPLY: Jenny Smedley -Necton Roundabout

Hi Sue

Thanks for your reply.

You haven't really answered my question though have you?

I feel I deserve one as you asked me (as you were leaving our house, and as my husband can testify to), to please quash any rumours that Vattenfall might pay for a roundabout.

The fact that you then went on to say, 'it won't be anything that would cost millions' strongly implies that it would NEVER be a roundabout. Your statements indicated that not only had nothing about improvements been discussed but that they would never happen because they would cost millions.

So please answer, is there any likelihood of Vattenfall paying for anything that would cost millions?

Because if they will then your statement to me would be incorrect.

Jenny

From: [Falch-Lovesey Susan \(WO-MC\)](#)
Sent: Monday, October 09, 2017 2:29 PM
To: [REDACTED]
Cc: [Necton Parish Clerk](#) ; info@norfolkvanguard.co.uk
Subject: REPLY: Jenny Smedley -Necton Roundabout

Dear Jenny,
Thank you for your email.

I do recall the conversation on the 14th July at your home after I had dropped off the workshop invites that had been requested.

We did indeed briefly discuss improvements to the junction from Necton onto the A47 and the fact that there is some local interest in a roundabout. I also recall explaining to you that at that time there had been no official dialogue between Vattenfall and Highways England or other relevant parties interested in this topic, and therefore any rumours about VF paying for a roundabout were precisely that, only rumours.

Moving on from this however, there are now very useful conversations happening around infrastructure – initiated by George Freeman MP and which are attended by Parish councillors and Vattenfall representatives and Transport stakeholders. This forum is a formal and useful one in which to deliberate relevant local traffic and transport challenges and any opportunities that may emerge to address them.

Kind regards,
Sue

From: Jenny Smedley [REDACTED]
Sent: Monday, October 09, 2017 12:56 PM
To: Falch-Lovesey Susan (WO-MC) <susan.falch-lovesey@vattenfall.com>
Subject: Necton Roundabout
Importance: High

Dear Sue

Do you remember a conversation we had when you visited our house just previously to the July meetings?

I asked you about a roundabout being paid for by Vattenfall, and you seemed pretty horrified to know that rumours were afield in Necton that they would be doing so.

In fact you asked me to please squash any rumours that this might happen, saying that VF would not be offering anything costing in the millions.

Well Mr George Freeman MP has just emailed me to suggest quite strongly that a roundabout funded by VF is a possibility.

I'm just checking with you to see if VF's position has changed since we spoke.

Thanks
Jenny

We have recently changed the registered offices of a number of our companies. The following are now registered at 1 Tudor Street, London, EC4Y 0AH:
Vattenfall Wind Power Ltd, Border Wind Ltd, Border Wind Farms Ltd, BW Ops Ltd, Clashindarroch Wind Farm

Ltd, Eclipse Energy UK Ltd,
Eclipse Energy Company Ltd, Kentish Flats Ltd, Ormonde Energy Ltd, Ormonde Energy Holdings Ltd, Ormonde
Project Company Ltd, Thanet Offshore

We have recently changed the registered offices of a number of our companies. The following are now
registered at 1 Tudor Street, London, EC4Y 0AH:

Vattenfall Wind Power Ltd, Border Wind Ltd, Border Wind Farms Ltd, BW Ops Ltd, Clashindarroch Wind Farm
Ltd, Eclipse Energy UK Ltd,
Eclipse Energy Company Ltd, Kentish Flats Ltd, Ormonde Energy Ltd, Ormonde Energy Holdings Ltd, Ormonde
Project Company Ltd, Thanet Offshore

Please consider the environment! Do you need to print this email?

The information in this e-mail and any attachments is confidential and may be legally privileged and protected by law.
bobby.sharma@vattenfall.com only is authorised to access this e-mail and any attachments. If you are not bobby.sharma@vattenfall.com, please
notify victoria.redman@bonddickinson.com as soon as possible and delete any copies. Unauthorised use, dissemination, distribution, publication
or copying of this communication or attachments is prohibited and may be unlawful.

Any files attached to this e-mail will have been checked by us with virus detection software before transmission. Bond Dickinson LLP accepts no
liability for any loss or damage which may be caused by software viruses and you should carry out your own virus checks before opening any
attachment.

Content of this email which does not relate to the official business of Bond Dickinson LLP, is neither given nor endorsed by it.

This email is sent by Bond Dickinson LLP which is a limited liability partnership registered in England and Wales under number OC317661. Our
registered office is 4 More London Riverside, London, SE1 2AU, where a list of members' names is open to inspection. We use the term partner to
refer to a member of the LLP, or an employee or consultant who is of equivalent standing. Our VAT registration number is GB123393627.

Bond Dickinson LLP is authorised and regulated by the Solicitors Regulation Authority.

We have recently changed the registered offices of a number of our companies. The following are now
registered at 1 Tudor Street, London, EC4Y 0AH:
Vattenfall Wind Power Ltd, Border Wind Ltd, Border Wind Farms Ltd, BW Ops Ltd, Clashindarroch Wind Farm
Ltd, Eclipse Energy UK Ltd,
Eclipse Energy Company Ltd, Kentish Flats Ltd, Ormonde Energy Ltd, Ormonde Energy Holdings Ltd, Ormonde
Project Company Ltd, Thanet Offshore

We have recently changed the registered offices of a number of our companies. The following are now
registered at 1 Tudor Street, London, EC4Y 0AH:
Vattenfall Wind Power Ltd, Border Wind Ltd, Border Wind Farms Ltd, BW Ops Ltd, Clashindarroch Wind Farm
Ltd, Eclipse Energy UK Ltd,
Eclipse Energy Company Ltd, Kentish Flats Ltd, Ormonde Energy Ltd, Ormonde Energy Holdings Ltd, Ormonde
Project Company Ltd, Thanet Offshore

**This email has been scanned by the Symantec Email Security.cloud service.
For more information please visit <http://www.symanteccloud.com>**
